

WARDS AFFECTED All Wards

FORWARD TIMETABLE OF CONSULTATION AND MEETINGS:

Cabinet

23 February 2004

BUDGET STRATEGY 2004/2005 to 2006/2007 CRIME AND DISORDER – SERVICE DEVELOPMENT BIDS

Report of the Corporate Director of Housing

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To consider allocation of the 2004/05 £100,000 growth budget for crime and disorder

2. Summary

- 2.1 The approved Council three-year strategy for the Revenue Budget included Crime and Disorder as one of the four priorities that the Council would consider for increased funding. £100,000 was made available in 2002/03 and in 2003/04.
- 2.2 The Council's input to Crime and Disorder work is a corporate responsibility, which the Council undertakes in collaboration with partners largely through the Crime and Disorder Partnership, Youth Offending Team and Drug and Alcohol Action Team. The Corporate Director of Housing acts as the Lead Director for Crime and Disorder and this paper presents the bids submitted for consideration from the third year of increased funding.
- 2.3 Funding made available in 2002/03 and 2003/04 has been allocated as follows:

| | 02/03 | 03/04 | TOTAL on going in budget |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|
| YOT running costs (SC&H) | £40k | £60k | £100k |
| ASBO's legal costs (Hsg) | £5k | £0 | £5k |
| Crime & Disorder P'ship work (CS&NR) | £40k | £0 | £40k |
| Public Protection Panel (SC&H) | £1k | £11.4k | £12.4 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- 2.4 The attached appendices, detail 4 bids forwarded by departments for consideration these are:
 - Community Safety Team: £60,000 for creation of Corporate Crime and Disorder Coordinator, a new Community Safety Senior Development Officer and a training budget to raise corporate co-ordination and awareness of crime and disorder issues within the Authority. These service improvements are contained within the Crime

and disorder Best Value Improvement Plan. (Cultural Services and Neighbourhood Renewal)

- Leicester Partnership Against Crime and Disorder: **£40,000** for a new post of Partnership Manager. The post will lead the planned multi-agency Crime and Disorder Partnership Team, which will include 2 posts funded by the Police. A bid for 2 further posts has been submitted to the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund. The creation of this post is contained within the Crime and disorder Best Value Improvement Plan (Cultural Services and Neighbourhood Renewal)
- Youth Offending Team: £24,600 for increased staff to oversee reparation work as part of Referral Orders. Reparation encourages the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. £15,000 for Enhanced staffing provision for core the Youth Offending Team to meet additional pressures in terms of management capacity, office space and the significant infrastructure requirements of the Youth Offending Team (Social Care & Health)
- Leicester Anti-Social Behaviour Partnership: **£30,000** for one Anti-Social Behaviour Officer to work with the proposed new city wide Anti-Social Behaviour Unit to tackle problematic ASB cases, encompassing a range of preventative, intervention and enforcement actions. The other funding for this new unit has been bid for from the 2004/06 Neighbourhood Renewal Fund. (Housing Department)
- 2.5 These bids were considered by Corporate Directors Board on 2nd December 2003, which supported the bids made by the Community Safety Team and Leicester Partnership Against Crime and Disorder to implement the Crime and Disorder Best Value Improvement Plan.

3. Recommendations (or OPTIONS)

3.1 Cabinet is asked to consider the above bids and agree that £60,000 should be allocated to the Community Safety Team to improve corporate co-ordination of crime and disorder and £40,000 be allocated to employ a Partnership Manager for Leicester Partnership Against Crime and Disorder both subject to the approval of the Crime and Disorder Best Value Improvement Plan.

4. Headline Financial and legal Implications

- 4.1 There is budget provision of £100k for this purpose in the Departmental Revenue Strategy for Cultural Services and Neighbourhood Renewal. The proposals in this report will not exceed £100k.
- 4.2 The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 places a duty on the Council to formulate and implement a strategy for the reduction of crime and disorder in the Leicester area. Section 17 of the Act provides that the Council has a duty to exercise its various functions with due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of those functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can, to prevent crime and disorder in its area

5. Report Author/Officer to contact:

Mike Forrester (Tel: 252 6800) Corporate Director of Housing



WARDS AFFECTED All Wards

FORWARD TIMETABLE OF CONSULTATION AND MEETINGS:

Cabinet

23 February 2004

BUDGET STRATEGY 2004/2005 to 2006/2007 CRIME AND DISORDER – SERVICE DEVELOPMENT BIDS

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

1. **REPORT**

1.1 The approved Council three-year strategy for the Revenue Budget included Crime and Disorder as one of the four priorities that the Council would consider for increased funding. £100,000 was made available in 2002/03 and in 2003/04.

1.2 The Council's input to Crime and Disorder work is a corporate responsibility, which the Council undertakes in collaboration with partners largely through the Crime and Disorder Partnership, Youth Offending Team and Drug and Alcohol Action Team. The Corporate Director of Housing acts as the Lead Director for Crime and Disorder and this paper presents the bids submitted for consideration from the third year of increased funding.

1.3 Funding made available in 2002/03 and 2003/04 has been allocated as follows:

| | 02/03 | 03/04 | TOTAL on going in budget |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|
| YOT running costs (SC&H) | £40k | £60k | £100k |
| ASBO's legal costs (Hsg) | £5k | £0 | £5k |
| Crime & Disorder P'ship work (CS&NR) | £40k | £0 | £40k |
| Public Protection Panel (SC&H) | £1k | £11.4k | £12.4 |
| Public Protection Panel (SC&H) | £1k | £11.4k | £12.4 |

1.4 The attached appendices, list 4 bids forwarded by departments for consideration which are:

- Community Safety Team: **£60,000** for creation of Corporate Crime and Disorder Coordinator, a new Community Safety Senior Development Officer and a training budget to raise corporate co-ordination and awareness of crime and disorder issues within the Authority. These service improvements are contained within the Crime and disorder Best Value Improvement Plan. (Cultural Services and Neighbourhood Renewal)
- Leicester Partnership Against Crime and Disorder: **£40,000** for a new post of Partnership Manager. The post will lead the planned multi-agency Crime and Disorder Partnership Team, which will include 2 posts funded by the Police. A bid for 2 further posts has been submitted to the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund. The creation of this post is contained within the Crime and disorder Best Value Improvement Plan (Cultural Services and Neighbourhood Renewal)
- Youth Offending Team: £24,600 for increased staff to oversee reparation work as part of Referral Orders. Reparation encourages the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. £15,000 for Enhanced staffing provision for core the Youth Offending Team to meet additional pressures in terms of management capacity, office space and the significant infrastructure requirements of the Youth Offending Team (Social Care & Health)
- Leicester Anti-Social Behaviour Partnership: **£30,000** for one Anti-Social Behaviour Officer to work with the proposed new city wide Anti-Social Behaviour Unit to tackle problematic ASB cases, encompassing a range of preventative, intervention and enforcement actions. The other funding for this new unit has been bid for from the 2004/06 Neighbourhood Renewal Fund. (Housing Department)

2. FINANCIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER IMPLICATIONS

1. Financial Implications

- 1.1 The amount previously made available in 2002/03 and 2003/04 is £100,000
- 1.2 Four bids have been received totaling £169,600
- 1.3 The two recommended priorities together come to a total of £100,000 and are reflected in the Departmental Revenue Strategy for Cultural Services & Neighbourhood Renewal.

2. Legal Implications

Section 6 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 provides that the City Council has joint responsibility with the Leicestershire Constabulary for formulating and implementing a strategy for the reduction of crime and disorder in the Leicester area. This function has to be exercised in co-operation with other bodies such as the Probation Service, which now locally form the Crime and Disorder Partnership.

Section 17 of the Act provides that the Council has a duty to exercise its various functions with due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of those functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can, to prevent crime and disorder in its area".

3. Other Implications

| OTHER IMPLICATIONS | YES/NO | Paragraph References Within Supporting information |
|-------------------------------|--------|--|
| Equal Opportunities | YES | Crime and disorder disproportionately effects people living in deprived areas and people from minority ethnic communities. |
| Policy | YES | The Council will shortly undergo a CPA inspection of crime and disorder, which will look at corporate co-ordination and authority input to Leicester partnership Against Crime and Disorder. Equally, tackling anti-social behaviour and encouraging reparation by young offenders are polices supported by the Council. |
| Sustainable and Environmental | No | |
| Crime and Disorder | YES | If the above recommendations are approved, the funding will support long term changes to the way the council corporately responds to crime and disorder. |
| Human Rights Act | NO | |
| Elderly/People on Low Income | YES | Elderly people are generally more affected by the fear of crime than the general population. Crime and disorder disproportionately effects people living in deprived areas. |

3. BACKGROUND PAPERS – LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972

4. CONSULTATIONS

Mark Noble, Chief Financial Officer, RAD Julian Allen, Principal Accountant, RAD Peter Nicholls, Head of Legal Services, RAD

5. **REPORT AUTHOR**

Mike Forrester Ext. 6800 Corporate Director of Housing

APPENDIX 1

Recommended for priority

| Community Safety Team – service improvements for corporate co-ordination of crime and disorder | | |
|--|---|--|
| Actions | Outcomes | |
| Agree transfer of partnership responsibilities plan and timetable with LPACD | Single point of contact for external and service enquiries. | |
| Appendix R review of CST posts | Improved response to customers on C&D issues from service managers. | |
| Training in new roles for CST members. | Cost of services identified, and clear targets established. | |
| Enhance Team leader post to become new Corporate Crime and Disorder Co-ordinator. | Dedicated support to Partnership Action Groups | |
| Recruit Senior Development Officer. | Specialist policy support for Elected Members | |
| Design, launch and support corporate awareness campaign | Policy, data analysis, and training support to service managers and Partnership Action Groups | |
| Convene and facilitate service strategic planning groups on burglary reduction and anti-social behaviour. | | |
| Support development of crime and disorder service plans and Pl's. | | |
| Overall cost: | | |
| £60,000 costs of new LCC posts. | | |
| | | |

Appendix 2

Recommended for priority

| Development of the Leicester Partnership Against Crime and Disorder | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Actions | Outcomes | | |
| Appoint partnership manager and assemble partnership support team | Dedicated citywide team and contact point for C&D enquiries and information. | | |
| Produce Partnership Development Plan | Training for members of local Action | | |
| Training for Partners and Action Groups | Groups | | |
| Produce SMART Objectives linked to other strategies | Facilitate service planning for partners | | |
| Produce Performance Management Framework to engage strategic partners | Extensive public information and consultation on priorities for the next three year strategy. | | |
| Conduct strategic audit | | | |
| Overall cost: | | | |
| Partnership Manager (estimated PO5): £40,000 p.a., (inc. on-costs), | | | |
| Partnership Support Team: two seconded posts from CST, two from Police. An outline bid for NRF support has also been submitted to pay for other posts in the team. | | | |

Appendix 3

| SERVICE AREA Proposal No: Youth Offending Service Proposal Details of Proposal One full-time permanent unqualified post to work with restorative processes on Referral Orders and enhanced staffing provision for core Youth Offending Team. Image: Content of Cont | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Details of Proposal One full-time permanent unqualified post to work with restorative processes on Referral Orders and enhanced staffing provision for core Youth Offending Team. Type of Growth To fund increased demand and improve service. Justification for Proposal Referral Orders Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| One full-time permanent unqualified post to work with restorative processes on Referral Orders and enhanced staffing provision for core Youth Offending Team. <u>Type of Growth</u> To fund increased demand and improve service. <u>Justification for Proposal</u> <u>Referral Orders</u> Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| enhanced staffing provision for core Youth Offending Team. Type of Growth To fund increased demand and improve service. Justification for Proposal <u>Referral Orders</u> Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| To fund increased demand and improve service. <u>Justification for Proposal</u> <u>Referral Orders</u> Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| <u>Justification for Proposal</u> <u>Referral Orders</u> Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| Referral Orders Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| Referral Orders were introduced to Youth Offending Services in April 2002. Their focus was on first-time offenders, aimed at involving the community in administering justice. The value of Referral Orders is that the victim is always invited to take part in the process and reparation features on every order. This is to encourage the young person to take responsibility for their actions and to recognise the consequences of their behaviour. Referral Orders now make up a third of our orders. Figures indicate that each three month Referral Order costs on average £1,735 to operate, compared to an annual grant budget of £77,000 to cover salaries of a Co-ordinator, an Admin Officer and one Reparation Worker, supplied by | | | |
| the YJB. In 2003 our estimated number of Referral Orders will be in excess of 250, costing the YOS an estimated £443,375. A new post to oversee the reparation work on the orders would be a major benefit in response to the growth in this area. | | | |
| Enhanced staffing provision for core Youth Offending Team The Leicester City Youth Offending Team has been fully operational since April 2000. Since that time however, its sphere of responsibilities has increased substantially, and the demands now placed on the YOT are both more wide-ranging and complex than those originally envisaged under the requirements of the Crime and Disorder Act of 1998. Although the YOT has risen to the challenge, and welcomed these new initiatives, they have in themselves resulted in additional pressures in terms of management capacity, office space and the significant infrastructure requirements (particularly around HR & budget). The Youth Offending Team is committed to the delivery of high quality services and has demonstrated both locally and nationally that it has been responsive and effective in meeting the challenges that have been presented. To maintain this standard it is clear that additional and possibly differential resources are now required. Therefore the bid for £15,000 is to cover the above mentioned pressures in particular, employee costs. | | | |
| Please note that the 2002/2003 outturn figures mentioned below were entirely grant funded by YJB. | | | |

| Departmental Priorities Addressed | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Reducing crime and disorder Reducing the fear of crime Working with victims Reparation to the Community | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Date to be implemented from: April 2004 | | | | | |
| Financial Implications of Proposals | <u>2004/05</u> | <u>2005/06</u> | <u>2006/07</u> | | |
| Referral Order: Unqualified salary - £22,600 + £2,000 travel costs | £24,600 | £24,600 | £24,600 | | |
| Enhanced staffing provision for Youth Offending Team | £15,000 | £15,000 | £15,000 | | |
| Service Budget | <u>2002/03</u> Outturn | <u>2003/04</u> Budget | | | |
| Staff | | £61,900 | £0 | | |
| Supplies & Services – one off payment towards equipment Gardening equipment to expand our placements | £12,600 | £0 | | | |
| Income (fully grant funded by YJB) | (£74,500) | £0 | | | |
| TOTAL | £0 | £0 | | | |
| Staffing Implications | <u>2004/05</u> | <u>2005/06</u> | <u>2006/07</u> | | |
| Current service staffing (FTE) | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | | |
| Extra post(s) (FTE) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | |
| Geographical Implications | | | | | |
| City Wide Effect on other departments and corporate priorities | | | | | |
| N/A Benchmarking Information | | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| Other Service Implications N/A | | | | | |
| Bid submitted by: Mary Compagnac, YOT Manager Date: 31/10/2003 | | | | | |

Appendix 4

Leicester City Council Crime and Disorder Fund 2004

Title: Anti-Social Behaviour Officer

Description: The Leicester Anti-Social Behaviour Partnership has submitted a bid to the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund for a citywide cross-tenure Anti-Social Behaviour Unit (LASBU). The Unit includes an ASB Response Team, which has the role of tackling problematic/serious cases of anti-social behaviour.

The bid to the NRF includes provision for five ASB Officers. However, as the team is citywide and cross-tenure, it is anticipated that demand for the services of the ASB Response Unit will be high. For this reason, we are seeking funding for additional ASB Officers from alternative sources. This bid seeks to establish funding for one ASB Officer.

The role of the ASB Officer will be to tackle problematic ASB cases, and will encompass a range of preventative, intervention, and enforcement actions. This includes facilitating mediation, identifying action plans, gathering evidence and building cases, and if necessary, taking full legal action against perpetrators of persistent and/or severe anti-social behaviour.

Funding Required: £30,000 (recruitment and salary)

Project Manager: Martin Clewlow

Organisation: Housing Department, Leicester City Council

Telephone No: 252 6856